

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,347	09/11/2003	Mike S. McElroy	23399.00	7092
37833 759	90 09/14/2006		EXAMINER	
LITMAN LAW OFFICES, LTD			NGUYEN, CHI Q	
PO BOX 15035 CRYSTAL CITY STATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22215			3635	
			DATE MAILED: 09/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

n 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
**	10/659,347	MCELROY, MIKE S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chi Q. Nguyen	3635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/20/22a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-16</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/3 Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ite atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/659,347

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment was received September 20, 2005. Applicant has amended claims 1, 2, and 6-8. Claims 12-16 have been added. Currently claims 1-16 are pending.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the angle of the elongated integral arm must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

Art Unit: 3635

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-11 are objected to because of the following informalities: in regard independent claims 1 and 7, the preambles are set forth a subcombination of a supplemental door trim and not positively with a combination of an existing door molding, therefore, the examiner treats the claims as subcombination of the supplemental door trim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Regarding independent claims 1 and 7, new subject matter was introduced concerning the angle of the elongated integral arm section and the upper base portion. Previously neither the specification nor the drawings specified that the angle had to be at substantially a right angle. Furthermore,

Application/Control Number: 10/659,347

Art Unit: 3635

the specification does not disclose the significance of a right angle verses any other angle.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson (GB 2214214).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Jackson discloses a plurality of substantially rigid elongated door trim section 30 (Figs. 1-5), the trim sections each having a substantially L-shaped cross section. The walls 31, 32, 35 of the cross section form a base portion, wherein the base portion comprises an upper base portion and an integral arm section which extends from the upper base portion along wall 31 (Figs. 1-5) and terminates in a free end 33 (Figs. 1-5). While Jackson does not disclose the angle between the integral arm section and the base portion being at substantially a right angle, it would have been a matter of obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art at the same time the invention was made to form the trim section with a right angle, as Jackson is concerned the trim section being capable of being installed in a door frame. Furthermore, since Jackson teaches the similar claimed structure as the applicant's claimed invention, it would therefore obviously capable of performing the same such as covering an existing door molding without the needs of replacing the existing door molding.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,347

Art Unit: 3635

Regarding claims 2 and 8, the trim section is a wooden architrave (title).

Regarding claims 3 and 9, Jackson teaches the claimed invention as stated except wherein the integral arm section defines a setback of about 0.25" upon contact with an existing door molding. As set forth above, the existing door molding limitation is not positively claimed, therefore it not being given any patentable weight. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the integral section define a setback of about 0.25", since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. The motivation of doing so would have been to provide a gap for maximum door movement.

Regarding claims 4 and 10, the outer wall 31 of the integral arm is shown with a flat face (Figs. 1-5).

Regarding claims 5 and 11, the outer wall 31 of the integral arm may comprise a variable face in the form of a decorative molding (page 4, lines 24-25).

Regarding claim 6, Jackson teaches the claimed invention as stated except for the elongated trim section is about seven foot in length. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art to have a length of 7' for the door trim would have been considered as a matter of a design choice base on desirable application because one in the art having ordinary skill to cut the right length for the door trim to cover the whole length of the door.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/659,347 Page 6

Art Unit: 3635

Claims 12-16 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Chi Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Naoko Slack can be reached at (571) 272-6848.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197.

9/11/2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600